



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/789,676

02/27/2004

Peter Kennedy

APL1P298/P3207

7556

62464 7590 07/31/2009  
BEYER LAW GROUP LLP/APPLE INC.  
P.O. BOX 1687  
CUPERTINO, CA 95015-1687

EXAMINER

LEWIS, DAVID LEE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/31/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/789,676	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KENNEDY, PETER	
	<b>Examiner</b> DAVID L. LEWIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID L. LEWIS. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) JUSTIN WHITE. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,14 and 21-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jerbit et al. (7289824).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided proposed alternative claim language to overcome the prior art of record, and was most successful in doing this with the proposed claim option A. Other proposed options were discussed as being helpful to forward prosecution. The Examiner will need to update the search in response to the proposed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David L Lewis/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629